

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ید د

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,031	03/05/2002	Giorgio Rizzoni	OSU1159-148B	7585
8698	698 7590 12/08/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER
STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP 495 METRO PLACE SOUTH			KWON, JOHN	
SUITE 210	LACE SOOTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUBLIN, OH 43017			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		INC				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/092,031	RIZZONI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John T. Kwon	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e. cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes and the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are priority document in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document in the first sentence of the priority document in the first sentence of the priority document in the first sentence of the priority doc	ats have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been received in Applority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 arst sentence of the specification for the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	ceived in this National Stage seived. 19(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. 1 received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/092,031

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what "a frequency domain method" is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the "Admitted Prior Art as described in the applicant specification (page 3, lines 1-10)".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Admitted Prior Art as described in the applicant specification" in view of Williams (US 6,223,120). The Admitted Prior Art (APA) teaches the use of a crankshaft position, velocity and

Application/Control Number: 10/092,031

Art Unit: 3747

Page 3

the acceleration of the internal combustion engine to estimate the torque is old and well known in the art (page 3, lines 1-10 in the applicant's specification). However, the APA does not show the use of a particular method to estimate model function. Williams shows that the use of a nonlinear function to indicate the torque in the internal combustion engine is old and well known in the art. Since the prior art references art from the field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Williams would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the APA. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of the APA by non-linear function as taught by Williams.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John T. Kwon whose telephone number is (703) 308-1046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7766.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747